1 DANIEL G. BOGDEN United States Attorney 2 PHILLIP N. SMITH, JR. Assistant United States Attorney Lloyd D. George United States Courthouse 333 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Suite 5000 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 388-6336 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA 7 8 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 9 10

2:14-CR-00138-JAD-VCF

Plaintiff, v. CHARLES RAY BELL, JR., Defendant.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

### STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between Daniel G. Bogden, United States Attorney, and Phillip N. Smith, Jr., Assistant United States Attorney, counsel for the United States of America, and Russell E. Marsh, Esq., Wright Stanish & Winckler, counsel for Defendant CHARLES RAY BELL, JR., that the date for the Government to file a response to the Defendant's "Motion for Production of Additional Police Reports" (Docket #35) be extended for thirty (30) days.

This stipulation is entered for the following reasons:

On December 1, 2014, the Defendant, through prior counsel, filed a "Motion for 1. Production of Additional Police Reports." See Docket #35. PACER set the Government's response deadline for December 18, 2014. The Defendant then subsequently was appointed new counsel.

-1-

- The Defendant's new counsel recently received discovery and needs additional time to go through the discovery and discuss it with the Defendant. The parties have also been discussing a possible plea negotiation which would obviate the need for the Court to consider the Defendant's Motion. Alternatively, the parties may be able to resolve the discovery matter that is the subject of Defendant's Motion.
- The Defendant is incarcerated, but he does not object to the continuance of the Government's response deadline.
- The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay, but merely to allow counsel for the Defendant adequate time to discuss this case with the Defendant. along with possible defenses, as well as to potentially resolve the case with a plea agreement.
- Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage
- This is the third stipulation filed herein to continue the Government's response

DATED: February 17, 2015.

Assistant United States Attorney

RUSSELL E. MARSH, ESQ. Wright Stanish & Winckler Counsel for Defendant CHARLES RAY BELL, JR.

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	2:14-CR-00138-JAD-VCF
	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
CHARLES RAY BELL, JR.	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
	)	

## **FINDINGS OF FACT**

Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court finds that:

- 1. On December 1, 2014, the Defendant, through prior counsel, filed a "Motion for Production of Additional Police Reports." *See* Docket #35. PACER set the Government's response deadline for December 18, 2014. The Defendant then subsequently was appointed new counsel.
- 2. The Defendant's new counsel recently received discovery and needs additional time to go through the discovery and discuss it with the Defendant. The parties have also been discussing a possible plea negotiation which would obviate the need for the Court to consider the Defendant's Motion. Alternatively, the parties may be able to resolve the discovery matter that is the subject of Defendant's Motion.

- 3. The Defendant is incarcerated, but he does not object to the continuance of the Government's response deadline.
- 4. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay, but merely to allow counsel for the Defendant adequate time to discuss this case with the Defendant, along with possible defenses, as well as to potentially resolve the case with a plea agreement.
- 5. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.
- 6. This is the third stipulation filed herein to continue the Government's response deadline.

For all of the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would best be served by a continuance of the motion response deadline.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay, but merely to allow counsel for the Defendant adequate time to discuss this case with the Defendant, along with possible defenses, as well as to potentially resolve the case with a plea agreement. The failure to grant said continuance would likely result in a miscarriage of justice.

#### **ORDER**

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

2/18/15